Appl. No. 09/887,626
Solice of Appeal dated October 10, 2003
Identification of Appealed Claims

net 1 4 2003

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 

Hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October

<u>10</u>, 2003.

Attorney for Applicant of

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No. 2291.2.2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CEIVED

Applicant(s):	Michael L. Howard et al.	OCT 2 0 2003	
Serial No.:	09/887,626	Technology Center 2600	
Filed:	June 22, 2001	)	
For:	ELECTRONIC DEVICE WITH PAGING FOR ENERGY CURTAILMENT AND CODE GENERATION FOR MANUAL VERIFICATION OF CURTAILMENT	) Group Art ) Unit: 2635 )	
Examiner:	Edwin C. Holloway, III	)	

## NOTICE OF APPEAL – IDENTIFICATION OF APPEALED CLAIMS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Dear Sir:

A Notice of Appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for review of the Examiner's final rejection of claims 1-26 in the Office Action mailed April 11, 2003 is included with this correspondence. With this document, Applicants identify that claims 1-26 are being appealed.

If there are any issues that may be clarified by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned.

Appl. No. 09/887,626
Notice of Appeal dated October 10, 2003
Identification of Appealed Claims

Respectfully submitted,

Wesley L. Austin Reg. No. 42,273

Attorney for Applicant

Date: October 10, 2003

MADSON & METCALF Gateway Tower West 15 West South Temple, Suite 900 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: 801/537-1700 Appl. No. 09/887,626 Raper dated October 10, 2003 OCT 1 7 2003

Technology Center 2800

Statement of the Substance of the Interview

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an ethelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No. 2291.2.2

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):	Michael L. Howard et al.	)
Serial No.:	rial No.: 09/887,626	
Filed:	June 22, 2001	)
For:	ELECTRONIC DEVICE WITH PAGING FOR ENERGY CURTAILMENT AND CODE GENERATION FOR MANUAL VERIFICATION OF CURTAILMENT	) Group Art ) Unit: 2635 ) )
Examiner:	iner: Edwin C. Holloway, III	

## STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

As required by M.P.E.P. § 713.04, Applicant hereby files a Statement of the Substance of the Interview.

Applicant thank the Examiner for the consideration given during the personal interview of October 3, 2003. In that interview, Applicants and their attorney of record discussed with the Examiner the Glorioso prior art reference (Glorioso et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,926,776), and the Von Kohorn prior art reference (Von Kohorn., U.S. Patent No. 5,128,752) and Applicant's invention. Claim 1 was discussed during the interview. Possible amendments were discussed, Appl. No. 09/887,626 Paper dated October 10, 2003 Statement of the Substance of the Interview

however, no amendments are being made. A Notice of Appeal is being filed to appeal the

previous rejections made by the Examiner.

During the interview Claim 1 was discussed and it was argued that the Glorioso et al. references does not disclose, teach or suggest, "a curtailment module" that includes "memory in electronic communication with the processor, the memory storing history data, and the memory being programmed with verification instructions to generate a verification code to be used by a user to manually verify whether the request was followed, wherein the history data is not the

same as the verification code."

During the interview Claim 1 was discussed and it was argued that the Von Kohorn references does not disclose, teach or suggest, "a curtailment module" that includes "memory in electronic communication with the processor, the memory storing history data, and the memory being programmed with verification instructions to generate a verification code to be used by a user to manually verify whether the request was followed, wherein the history data is not the

same as the verification code."

Applicant and Applicant's attorney also argued that the Examiner's combination of Glorioso and Von Kohorn was an improper combination.

Respectfully submitted,

Wesley L. Austin Reg. No. 42,273

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Date: October 10, 2003

**MADSON & METCALF** Gateway Tower West 15 West South Temple, Suite 900 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Telephone: 801/537-1700